Lung cancer remains the second most common cancer in men and women in the United States with an estimated 229,000 new cases that will be diagnosed in 2020 (1). It is also the leading cause of cancer-related death with an estimated 136,000 lung cancer deaths in 2020 (1). Following resection, 5-year survival rates for patients with pathological N0 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) ranges from 58% for pT2b to 91% for pT1a lesions (2). Despite complete tumor resection for pT1-3N0 NSCLC, there remains a relatively high recurrence rate of 13% (local and distant) over a median follow-up period of 35 months (3). Brandt and colleagues studied 893 NSCLC patients and identified 86% of the patients that recurred presented with a distant metastasis with 19% developing brain metastasis at 5 years following complete tumor resection (3). Lung cancer is one of the most common cancers with an accentuated tropism of metastasis to the brain, compared to breast cancer, melanoma, gastrointestinal cancers, and renal cell carcinoma (4). Interestingly, the incidence of brain metastases has risen in the last few decades due, in part, to improved diagnostic modalities and increased patient survival with advanced systemic therapies. Unfortunately, we do not have a clear understanding of what is driving brain metastasis in NSCLC and what the optimal therapeutic approach should be in patients presenting with brain metastases in the era of personalized medicine.

In the last decade there has been an increasing interest in understanding the genetic profile of primary tumors in order to target therapies aimed at individual patients’ mutant molecular profiles. DNA sequencing of NSCLC has demonstrated complex genetic heterogeneity, both within primary tumors and between primary and lymph node metastases (5). Unfortunately, because of genetic heterogeneity, targeted therapies aimed at patients’ individual tumor genomic profile may not be specific to the genetic profile of metastatic lesions. Recently, Brastianos and colleagues identified genetic heterogeneity between brain metastases and their corresponding primary tumors in a genomic analysis of matched brain metastases, primary tumors, and normal tissue in 86 patients (6). The authors studied 29 lung adenocarcinoma patients. In many cases, potentially actionable clinically relevant alterations were identified in the brain metastases that were not detected in the primary tumor. Overall, in 53% of cases, the authors identified clinically actionable alterations unique to the brain metastases (6). This finding suggests that genomic heterogeneity between brain metastases and primary tumors may contribute to disparities in intracranial and extracranial disease response to systemic therapies, previously attributed to inadequate blood-brain barrier penetration. Furthermore, the authors studied brain metastases from different intracranial sites in the same patient and identified shared actionable alterations, which suggests homogeneity exists between brain lesions in the same patient (6).

Given these findings, identification of genomic alterations specific to brain metastases and development of targeted therapies against these alterations represents a critical area of research to improve oncologic survival in patients presenting with brain metastases. Unfortunately, in everyday practice, genomic sequencing of brain metastases poses a particular challenge. Most patients presenting with brain metastasis may not be candidates for a resection which
limits the amount of tissue available for sequencing. Thus, the extent to which brain metastases from NSCLC share the genetic profile of the primary tumor remains unknown, in everyday clinical practice, and a more comprehensive genomic understanding can influence treatment strategies and research directions. Therefore, practical alternative methods of genomic profiling are needed. One such modality is analysis of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) in plasma which has shown promise in characterizing tumors and monitoring disease response to therapy (7-9). In one study, mutations unique to the brain metastases were more represented in the cell-free ctDNA from the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) compared to plasma and the CSF ctDNA was observed to change with therapy (8). CSF ctDNA is a promising modality to identify drug-resistance mechanisms without invasive procedures like a brain biopsy. In a recent study, 341 cancer-associated genes in cell-free DNA isolated from the CSF of 53 patients with brain metastases of solid tumors or primary brain tumors, mutations associated with drug resistance were identified in 4 of 12 patients who progressed in the brain while on therapy (10). These findings suggest CSF ctDNA may facilitate genome-targeted treatments in patients with brain metastases and allow treatment surveillance. Further studies are needed evaluating ctDNA extracted from CSF and analyzed using next-generation sequencing techniques to identify all classes of alterations that might be clinically targetable. Ultimately, CSF ctDNA may replace more invasive biopsy procedures in patients with brain metastases.

Immunotherapy has altered the way we treat NSCLC. Significant research has identified the importance of binding of programmed death 1 (PD-1) receptor on activated T cells by programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) on tumor cells leads to T-cell inactivation, resulting in immune tolerance and tumor progression. PD-1 inhibitors, such as nivolumab and pembrolizumab, have been shown to improve survival outcomes in patients with NSCLC (11,12). Unfortunately, the clinical trials for PD-1 inhibitors excluded patients with active brain metastases. Interestingly, a recent study of melanoma brain metastases (MBMs) identified clinically relevant heterogeneity of immune infiltrates in MBMs (13). The authors identified suppression of multiple components of the antitumor immune response in MBMs, such as cytotoxic CD8$^+$ T cells, which are known to correlate positively with responsiveness to PD-1 immunotherapy in melanoma. These findings provide a possible explanation for the relatively low response rates observed with pembrolizumab and nivolumab in melanoma patients with brain metastases (14,15). Interestingly, the authors observed increased immune infiltrates in previously irradiated MBMs. Similar studies have yet to be performed in brain metastases from NSCLC patients. Future clinical studies perhaps should consider combining radiation and immunotherapy and such studies would evaluate the sequencing and timing of combinatorial approaches to appropriately balance clinical responses and toxicity.

NSCLC patients with brain metastases represent a particularly challenging cohort. There is mounting evidence of the genomic divergence of brain metastases from their corresponding primary tumors which may contribute to the observed disparities in clinical response to various therapeutic regimens. More studies are required, however, to better understand this genomic divergence and identify targetable alterations in primary tumors that may contribute to brain tropism in NSCLC. In addition, disparities in response to immunotherapy may be attributed to significant differences in the immune infiltrates of brain metastases compared to the primary tumor. Overall, the data we currently have suggests brain metastases may not respond to therapy in a similar fashion to the primary tumor. In everyday practice, genomic analysis offers the clinician a better understanding of the clinically targetable alterations unique to the brain metastases, however obtaining tissue biopsy is not routinely feasible. Less invasive approaches, such as CSF ctDNA, which offer critical information required for personalized genomic-directed therapy in patients with brain metastases that are not surgical candidates must be explored.
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