Improved tolerance of radical lung surgery after contralateral diaphragmatic elevation correction in lung cancer patients: a stepwise approach
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Abstract: We report on a 69 years old man with an incidental finding of a suspicious mass in the left upper lobe, detected during treatment of a severe pneumonia. This patient had a known right sided idiopathic diaphragm paralysis and suffered from severe breathlessness. Diaphragm plication was successfully performed 4 weeks before contralateral upper sleeve lobectomy in a difficult patient with comorbidities. This case illustrates a stepwise approach in restoration of adequate lung function before radical lung cancer surgery of the nonaffected side.
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Introduction

Surgery is the best treatment option in early stage lung cancer. Limited pulmonary function is a contraindication to surgery and can be overcome in some cases with smoking cessation, anti-obstructive medication and pulmonary rehabilitation. Contralateral phrenic nerve palsy is a rare cause of functional impairment. To give the patient the best chance of survival with curative resection, a contralateral diaphragmatic plication can be the first step to increase lung volumes and allow sequential tumor resection on the opposite lung.

Case presentation

A 69 years old ex-smoker with 30 pack years was admitted in our tertiary lung cancer center with a 5cm left upper lobe mass. Before admission into our center, he was first treated for left sided pneumonia in a neighbouring clinic. He was suffering from diabetes, hypertension and a severe endogenous depression with panic attacks causing breathlessness in various situations. With a body mass index of 35, and ECOG score of 2, the patient was on Amitriptylin 60 mg bd, Loracepam 0.5 mg, Risperidon 3 mg bd, beside Insulin and multidrug antihypertensive treatment. He had a FEV1 83 %, DLCO 81 %, TLC 5.6L (84 %) and a 39 % perfusion of the right lung (Figure 1). After Bone scan, MRI brain and a rigid bronchoscopy with endobronchial ultrasound and transbronchial needle aspiration, we arrived at a final diagnosis of squamous cell cancer (G3) of the left upper lobe (cT3, cN1, cM0) (Figures 2, 3). Expecting a severe post-operative functional deterioration, the patient refused surgery. Since the tumor bearing (left upper) lobe still had relevant ventilation and perfusion, a lobectomy would have resulted in severe pulmonary functional decline. After comprehensive discussion in our interdisciplinary tumor board, a diaphragmatic plication was recommended to overcome postoperative respiratory distress. The patient with an ECOG score of 2, multiple psychiatric conditions and a lack of compliance was not seen as an optimal candidate for multimodality therapy, but was in agreement to sequential operative interventions. A right sided open diaphragm plication with covering of the whole diaphragm with a non-absorbable polypropylene mesh (Bard®, Warwick, USA) was performed, without any post-operative complications (Figure 4). After 4 weeks of recovery he was re-admitted and re-evaluated. His lung
functions had improved to FEV1 91% and DLCO 96% (Table 1). So a left upper sleeve lobectomy with radical lymphadenectomy and pericardial fat pad application was performed. Pathologic specimen work-up revealed a squamous cell carcinoma pT3 N0 (0/19) L0 V0 G2 R0, UICC stage II B. The further course was uneventful and he was discharged on the 10th postoperative day (Figure 5). Adjuvant chemotherapy was not administered because of bad patient compliance. Although the spirometry during the 8th postoperative week showed a deterioration of his FEV1 (66.6%) and vital capacity (69%) and a non-measurable DLCO due to patient noncompliance during the examination (Table 1), the clinical state of the patient showed no significant reduction in the lung functions.

**Discussion**

Since 1920, diaphragm plication has been performed for various indications. Tokunaga et al. 2010 demonstrated the efficacy of intra-operative unilateral diaphragm plication in patients undergoing unilateral phrenectomy during extended surgery. Patients with diaphragm plication had no additional loss of lung function more than preoperative predicted values and there was no increase in the post-
Figure 2 Preoperative chest X-ray (A) and coronary CT-section (B) demonstrating diaphragmatic elevation and left central mass.

Figure 3 Preoperative axial CT section demonstrating the left central tumor.

Figure 4 Chest X-ray after diaphragm plication.

Figure 5 Chest X-ray at day 45 after left upper sleeve lobectomy.

Although the first lung function results concerning the reported case theoretically allowed primary resection, the physical performance (ECOG 2, right sided phrenic nerve palsy, right sided reduced perfusion, the high percentage of left upper lobe perfusion) and the subjective shortness of breath lead us to categorize the patient as a high risk candidate. In order to still allow radical cancer surgery as well as a good functional outcome with minimal risk for complications we suggested this sophisticated stepwise
approach. This concept of contralateral diaphragm plication to improve pulmonary function prior to limit cancer resection was described by other authors before (4).

**Conclusions**

Contralateral diaphragm plication prior to radical lung cancer surgery is an innovative and rational stepwise option to treat otherwise inoperable patients.
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Table 1 Serial lung function results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of lung function testing</th>
<th>FEV1 %</th>
<th>DLCO %</th>
<th>VC (L/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.0 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After diaphragm plication</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.2 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After left upper sleeve lobectomy</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>2.7 (69.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>